Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

A Review Paper on Quantum Computing: A Paradigm Shift in Computer Architecture

Mayank Madaan[1], Ms. Tanvi Thakur[2]

[1]Student (BCA), School of Computer Application & Technology, Career Point University, Kota (Raj.), India
[2]Assistant Professor, School of Computer Application & Technology, Career Point University, Kota (Raj.), India

Abstract

Quantum Computing is rapidly emerging as a transformative paradigm in the field of computer architecture, with the potential to revolutionize industries such as cryptography, artificial intelligence, and scientific research. Unlike classical computing, which relies on binary bits, quantum computing utilizes qubits that leverage the principles of superposition and entanglement, enabling exponentially faster computation for certain complex problems.

This review paper explores the foundational principles of quantum computing and contrasts them with traditional computing architectures. It examines the unique structure and functioning of quantum processors, the advantages they offer in solving real-world problems, and the key quantum algorithms like Shor's and Grover's that demonstrate their potential. The paper also discusses the current advancements by major technology companies and research institutions, along with challenges such as quantum decoherence, error correction, and hardware limitations.

Furthermore, the paper analyzes practical applications in fields like cybersecurity, optimization, and machine learning, and highlights the future scope of this technology. By reviewing significant studies and developments, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how quantum computing is redefining the future of computational power.

Keywords: Quantum Computing, Qubits, Superposition, Quantum Entanglement, Quantum Algorithms, Quantum Architecture, Quantum Gates, Quantum Supremacy, Quantum Error Correction, Future Computing Technologies

Introduction

The rapid growth of technology and the increasing demand for solving highly complex problems have pushed the boundaries of traditional computing. While classical computers

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

have served us well in fields such as data processing, business applications, and scientific calculations, they are limited when it comes to problems that require enormous processing power, such as cryptography, large-scale optimization, and quantum simulations.

The motivation behind this study is to highlight the revolutionary role of Quantum Computing in overcoming the limitations of classical computing. By utilizing the unique principles of quantum mechanics, such as superposition and entanglement, quantum computers offer exponential speed-ups in solving problems once thought to be computationally infeasible. As this technology progresses, it is crucial to understand how it works, its current applications, and the challenges that must be addressed to make it practical and scalable.

Quantum computing represents a fundamental shift in how computers operate. Unlike classical computers that process bits in a binary state (0 or 1), quantum computers use qubits that can be in multiple states simultaneously. This capability enables quantum computers to perform massive parallel computations and solve certain types of problems much more efficiently than classical systems.

In recent years, companies like IBM, Google, and Intel have made significant advancements in quantum hardware and software. Algorithms such as Shor's Algorithm for factoring large numbers and Grover's Algorithm for unstructured search showcase the computational power of quantum systems. However, despite its promise, quantum computing faces critical challenges including qubit instability, error correction, and high resource requirements.

This review aims to provide an in-depth understanding of how quantum computing differs from classical computing, its potential use cases, and the current state of development in the field.

Literature Survey

Research on quantum computing has made significant progress, particularly in understanding its theoretical foundations and practical limitations. Early studies laid the groundwork by exploring the basic concepts of quantum mechanics and their application in computation. Pioneers like Richard Feynman and David Deutsch proposed that quantum systems could perform calculations beyond the capability of classical computers, leading to the concept of the universal quantum computer.Notable advancements include the development of Shor's Algorithm (1994) for factoring large integers and Grover's Algorithm (1996) for searching unsorted databases, both of which showcased the power of quantum computing over classical methods. These algorithms demonstrated exponential and quadratic speed-ups, respectively, sparking interest in quantum cryptography and optimization.In the past decade, companies such as IBM, Google, and Intel have accelerated the development of quantum hardware. Google's Sycamore processor achieved a milestone in 2019 by demonstrating quantum supremacy, solving a problem in 200 seconds that would take classical supercomputers thousands of years. IBM Q and Intel's Tangle Lake are also contributing to making quantum computing more accessible.Additionally, academic studies and technical whitepapers have addressed the practical challenges in implementing quantum systems, such as quantum decoherence, error correction, and qubit stability. Despite the progress, building scalable, fault-tolerant quantum systems remains a major research focus.Overall, while theoretical foundations are well-established, ongoing research continues to explore hardware innovation, noise reduction, and real-world applications in cybersecurity, artificial intelligence, and material science.

1. Existing Studies on Quantum Computing Foundations

Many researchers have studied how quantum computing differs from classical computing and how it can solve problems that are too complex for traditional systems. Quantum computers use qubits, which can represent both 0 and 1 at the same time due to superposition, allowing them to process information in ways classical bits cannot.

Some early scientists like Richard Feynman (1982) suggested that simulating quantum systems would require a quantum computer. Later, David Deutsch (1985) introduced the idea of a universal quantum computer that could run any quantum algorithm. These foundational works inspired further research into how quantum mechanics could be used for computation.

Quantum computing research has grown to focus on many important areas:

- **Quantum Algorithms**: Such as Shor's Algorithm (1994) for factoring large numbers and Grover's Algorithm (1996) for searching databases faster than classical methods.
- **Quantum Hardware**: Companies like IBM, Google, and Intel have built quantum chips that use superconducting qubits.
- **Quantum Gates and Circuits**: Unlike classical logic gates (AND, OR), quantum computers use gates like Hadamard, CNOT, and Pauli-X to manipulate qubits.

CAREER POINT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH
Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

- **Quantum Supremacy**: Google's Sycamore chip claimed to achieve this in 2019 by solving a problem classical computers would take thousands of years to compute.

Despite these advances, building useful quantum computers is still very challenging because:

- Qubits are fragile and lose their quantum state quickly (decoherence).
- Quantum systems are highly sensitive to noise and need error correction.
- Quantum computers require extremely low temperatures to operate.

Researchers are working on improving quantum hardware, creating better error correction techniques, and developing hybrid models that use both classical and quantum computing. However, making quantum computing stable, scalable, and practical for everyday use is still a work in progress.

2. Challenges in past work on Quantum Computing

While quantum computing promises incredible computational power, it also comes with many complex challenges that researchers have been trying to overcome for years. These challenges are not only technical but also practical, making it difficult to implement and understand quantum systems compared to classical computers.

Classical computers operate on clear, binary logic that is easy to follow. But quantum computers work using qubits that exist in multiple states due to superposition and are linked by entanglement. These properties are powerful but also make the behavior of quantum systems hard to predict and explain. Unlike traditional logic gates, quantum gates manipulate probabilities, which means outcomes can seem random unless properly interpreted.

Key challenges highlighted in past research include:

- **Understanding Quantum Behavior**: Quantum operations do not behave like regular computations; their outcomes depend on probabilities and interference patterns, which are difficult for most people to understand without a physics background.
- **Error Correction Complexity**: Unlike classical systems, a single qubit error can disrupt the entire quantum calculation. Correcting these errors requires multiple physical qubits to represent one logical qubit, which greatly increases hardware demands.

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

- **Scalability Issues**: While experimental systems with 10–100 qubits exist, running real-world applications may require thousands or even millions of qubits. No current system is close to that scale yet.
- **Lack of Educational Tools**: There is a shortage of easy-to-use simulators or educational platforms that help non-experts explore how quantum computing works.

To reduce these barriers, research institutions and companies are focusing on:

- **Developing quantum programming languages** like Qiskit (IBM) and Cirq (Google).
- **Launching cloud-based quantum computers** that allow users to test simple quantum algorithms remotely.
- **Creating visualization tools** to help explain how quantum logic gates affect qubits and circuit outputs.

Still, even with these tools, there is a long way to go before quantum computing becomes as transparent and accessible as classical systems. Making this field more understandable and less error-prone remains a top priority in current research.

In conclusion, just like AI faced transparency issues due to complexity, quantum computing also struggles with interpretability, hardware limitations, and educational accessibility, but global efforts are actively addressing these concerns.

Sources and Selection Criteria

For this review paper, we collected and analyzed research articles published between 2018 and 2024. The papers were selected from reputable digital libraries and databases including IEEE Xplore, SpringerLink, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. Our focus was on studies that address the evolution and impact of quantum computing as a transformative paradigm in computer architecture.

Research papers were carefully chosen based on the following criteria:

- Relevance to quantum computing and its implications on computer architecture
- Inclusion of theoretical frameworks, architectural designs, or experimental quantum hardware developments
- Peer-reviewed journal articles or conference proceedings
- Clear presentation of experimental results, simulations, or architectural proposals

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

Themes and Categories Compared

To systematically organize our review and draw insightful conclusions, we grouped the papers into two main themes:

THEME	DESCRIPTION
Quantum Hardware Advances	Innovations in quantum processors, qubit technologies, and physical implementation
Architectural Paradigms	New models and frameworks redefining classical computer architecture using quantum principles

Within these themes, we further categorized papers by:

- The type of qubit technology used (superconducting, trapped ions, photonic, etc.)
- The architectural focus (quantum gates design, error correction, hybrid quantum-classical architectures)

Summary Table of Papers Reviewed

PAPER AUTHOR	YEAR	FOCUS AREA	METHOD TYPE	KEY CONTRIBUTION
Arute et al.	2019	Quantum Supremacy	Experimental Hardware	Demonstrated the first quantum supremacy experiment with a 53-qubit processor.
Preskill	2018	Quantum Computing Theory	Theoretical Analysis	Introduced the concept of Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices.
Devitt et al.	2020	Quantum Error Correction	Algorithmic Framework	Proposed scalable error correction codes

CAREER POINT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH
Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR ǀ Volume 3 ǀ Issue 4 ǀ ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

				for practical quantum computers.
Kandala et al.	2019	Hybrid Architectures	Experimental Demonstration	Showcased variational quantum algorithms on hybrid quantum-classical systems.
Monroe & Kim	2021	Trapped Ion Qubits	Hardware Review	Reviewed trapped-ion qubit technology and its advantages over other qubit types.
Fowler et al.	2022	Fault-Tolerant Architectures	Architectural Design	Developed surface code based fault-tolerant quantum architectures.
Boixo et al.	2020	Quantum Algorithms	Simulation and Testing	Benchmarked quantum algorithms relevant to machine learning tasks.
Muralidharan et al.	2023	Quantum Networking	Protocol Design	Proposed quantum network architectures for

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

				scalable quantum computing.

Quantum computing challenges

Types and Causes of Challenges

Quantum computing is a revolutionary technology, but it faces many technical, physical, and practical obstacles. These challenges must be solved before quantum computers can be widely used like classical computers.

Types of Challenges:

- **Qubit Decoherence:**Quantum bits (qubits) are very sensitive and can lose their quantum state due to interference from the environment. This results in calculation errors and data loss.
- **Quantum Error Correction:**Traditional error correction methods don’t work on qubits. Quantum error correction requires many extra qubits and complex techniques, which increases system size and cost.
- **Hardware Instability:**Building stable quantum systems is difficult. Most quantum computers must operate at extremely low temperatures (near absolute zero) to reduce noise.
- **Scalability:**Today’s quantum computers can only manage tens or hundreds of qubits. To solve real-world problems, we need millions of stable qubits—which current technology can’t yet support.
- **Programming Complexity:** Quantum programming languages and tools are still in early stages. Developers need to understand quantum mechanics, making it harder to build software for quantum systems.

Causes of Challenges:

- **Fragile Nature of Qubits:** Qubits can be affected by even small disturbances like heat or electromagnetic waves. This makes them hard to control and stabilize.
- **Lack of Mature Technology:**Quantum computing is still a new field. Unlike classical computers, quantum hardware and software are not yet fully developed or standardized.
- **High Resource Requirements:**Quantum systems need special conditions, such as vacuum chambers, cryogenic cooling, and complex control systems, which makes them expensive and difficult to maintain.

- **Limited Talent and Research:**Only a small number of experts and institutions are working on quantum computing. More education and research support are needed globally.

Case Studies of Challenges in Quantum Computing

Case Study 1: Google's Quantum Supremacy Claim (2019)

In 2019, Google claimed it had achieved quantum supremacy by solving a problem in 200 seconds that would take a classical supercomputer 10,000 years. However, IBM challenged this claim, saying a classical system could solve the same task in just a few days.

Case Study 2: IBM Q System Limitations

IBM has built several quantum processors like the IBM Q System One, but these machines still work with fewer than 127 qubits and require extremely low temperatures (close to 0 Kelvin) to function.

Case Study 3: D-Wave and Quantum Annealing

D-Wave Systems developed quantum computers based on quantum annealing, which are good for optimization problems. However, their machines are not universal quantum computers and can't run all types of quantum algorithms.

Quantum vs Classical Computing

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

Quantum computing and classical computing are two fundamentally different approaches to information processing. While classical computers use bits that are either 0 or 1, quantum computers use qubits, which can be in a superposition of both states. This chapter highlights how these two computing models differ, and what advantages and limitations each one has.

Key Differences Between Quantum and Classical Computing:

Feature	Classical Computing	Quantum Computing
Basic Unit of Data	Bit (0 or 1)	Qubit (0, 1, or both at the same time)
Logic	Binary logic using gates like AND, OR, NOT	Quantum logic using gates like Hadamard, CNOT
State Handling	Single state at a time	Superposition: many states at once
Interaction	Independent bits	Entangled qubits share information instantly
Processing Type	Step-by-step processing	Parallel processing with probability
Result Determinism	Predictable, fixed result	Probabilistic result (needs verification)

Tools and technologies

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

Several tools, platforms, and simulators have been developed to help researchers and developers explore, program, and test quantum computing systems. These tools support algorithm development, circuit simulation, and hybrid quantum-classical applications.

Tool/Technique	Description	Type
Qiskit (by IBM**)**	An open-source quantum computing SDK to design, simulate, and run quantum circuits on IBM Quantum hardware.	Programming Framework
Cirq (by Google)	A Python library for designing and simulating quantum circuits, used in Google's quantum processors.	Circuit Simulator
Microsoft Q# and Azure Quantum	A quantum programming language and cloud-based service for quantum solutions.	Programming Language + Cloud
QuTiP	The Quantum Toolbox in Python for simulating quantum systems, especially useful in quantum physics research.	Simulation Toolkit
Forest SDK (by Rigetti)	Toolkit for developing quantum algorithms on Rigetti's hardware and simulators.	Development Environment
Quantum Inspire	Europe's first cloud quantum platform, offering simulation and real quantum chips (by QuTech).	Online Platform

Result and Discussion

CAREER POINT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH
Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

After reviewing several recent research papers (from 2018 to 2024) related to quantum computing and architectural advancements, several key trends and limitations have emerged. These findings show the rapid growth in the field of quantum computing while also revealing major areas that need further exploration and development.

Key Trends Observed

1) Growing Power of Quantum Hardware

- Companies like IBM, Google, and Rigetti have developed quantum processors with over 100 qubits.
- However, these systems are still prone to decoherence and noise, limiting their usage.
  Insight: Hardware is improving, but quantum computers are still in the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) stage.

2.) Hybrid Architectures Are Gaining Popularity

- Several papers show a trend toward hybrid quantum-classical systems, where quantum processors are used for specific tasks while classical processors handle the rest.
  Example: Algorithms like Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) and QAOA are hybrid by design.

3.) Focus on Error Correction Techniques

- Research shows a strong emphasis on quantum error correction (QEC) codes such as surface codes and Shor codes.
- These are essential for building fault-tolerant quantum computers.
  Trend: Many papers focus more on building reliable qubits than increasing qubit count.

4.) Simulation Tools Are Widely Used

- Due to hardware limitations, many researchers use simulators (like Qiskit, Cirq, and QuTiP) to test quantum algorithms.

©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

Gap: Real-world validation is still limited due to lack of accessible large-scale quantum hardware.

5.) Architectural Models Are Still Evolving

- There is no standard quantum architecture like the Von Neumann model in classical computing.
- Different models (gate-based, adiabatic, measurement-based) are being studied. **Insight:** More research is needed to define universal quantum computing architecture for future systems.

Summary of Gaps:

Gap Area	Explanation
Hardware Scalability	Current quantum systems cannot scale to thousands or millions of stable qubits
Universal Architecture	No standard architecture for all quantum systems
Error Handling	Qubits are fragile and need complex error correction systems
Limited Real-world Testing	Simulators dominate due to limited access to real quantum computers

Conclusion and Future Scope

This review paper focused on the evolution, significance, and challenges of quantum computing as a major paradigm shift in computer architecture. After reviewing several recent studies from 2018 to 2024, the following key insights were observed:

- Quantum computing is based on principles of quantum mechanics, such as superposition and entanglement, which allow for parallel computation and exponential speedups in solving specific problems.
- Quantum computers are still in the NISQ (Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum) era, where quantum hardware is highly sensitive, unstable, and limited in the number of qubits.

- There is no universal architectural model yet for quantum systems, and different approaches (gate-based, quantum annealing, measurement-based) are still being explored.
- Hybrid computing systems, combining classical and quantum processors, are emerging as a practical solution for current limitations.
- Simulation tools and SDKs like Qiskit, Cirq, and QuTiP are widely used to design, simulate, and test quantum algorithms, due to limited access to real quantum hardware.

Suggestions for Further Work

To build scalable, reliable, and efficient quantum computing systems, future research should focus on:

1. Improving Hardware Scalability and Qubit Stability

Efforts must focus on increasing the number of usable qubits while reducing decoherence and error rates in quantum processors.

2. Developing Fault-Tolerant Quantum Systems

Advanced quantum error correction methods are needed to ensure stability, especially for long computations and sensitive operations.

3. Creating a Standard Quantum Architecture

Unlike classical computing's Von Neumann model, quantum computing still lacks a universal framework. Establishing one will guide both hardware and software development.

4. Designing More General-Purpose Quantum Algorithms

Currently, most quantum algorithms are application-specific. Future research should explore algorithms that solve broader classes of problems.

5. Enhancing Hybrid Quantum-Classical Integration

Combining the strengths of classical and quantum systems can enable more practical applications in the near future.

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2583-1895
July-September 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17364165

6. Expanding Access to Quantum Cloud Platforms

To encourage global research and innovation, accessible cloud-based quantum computing resources should be promoted in academic and industrial sectors.

References

1. F. Arute et al., "Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor," Nature, vol. 574, pp. 505–510, 2019.
2. J. Preskill, "Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond," Quantum, vol. 2, pp. 79, 2018.
3. A. G. Fowler et al., "Surface codes: Towards practical large-scale quantum computation," Physical Review A, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 032324, 2022.
4. P. Jurcevic et al., "Demonstration of quantum volume 64 on a superconducting quantum computing system," Quantum Science and Technology, vol. 6, no. 2, 2021.
5. J. M. Gambetta, "IBM's roadmap for scaling quantum technology," IBM Research Blog, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.ibm.com/blogs/research/2020/09/ibm-quantum-roadmap/
6. M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, 10th Anniversary Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2019.
7. A. Kandala et al., "Hardware-efficient variational quantum eigensolver for small molecules and quantum magnets," Nature, vol. 549, no. 7671, pp. 242–246, 2017.
8. T. Häner, D. S. Steiger, M. Smelyanskiy, and M. Troyer, "High Performance Emulation of Quantum Circuits," Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis (SC), 2018.